Images from Shimwell+ 2019



# Cosmological Studies with the LOFAR Two-metre Sky Surveys





Catherine Hale University of Oxford

On behalf of members in the LOFAR Surveys Cosmology Team\*







THE UNIVERSITY

of EDINBURGH

LEVERHULME

UNIVERSITY OF

OXFORD

TRUST

\*Notably: S. Nakoneczny, L. Böhme, J. Zheng, M. Pashapour-

Ahmadabadi

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

#### Overview

- Tracing Large Scale Structure with galaxy surveys
- LOFAR surveys: Systematics and observational biases
- Cosmology with LoTSS-DR2:
  - Angular Clustering (Hale et. al 2024)
  - One-Point statistics (Pashapour-Ahmadabadi et al. in prep)
  - Cosmic Dipole (Böhme et al. in prep)
  - Cross-Correlation with CMB (Nakoneczny et al. 2024) and eBOSS (Zheng et al. in prep)
  - Flux dependent Clustering (Bhardwaj+ in press)
- Bias evolution of AGN and SFGs in the LOFAR Deep Fields
  - Hale et al. in prep
- Future for cosmology studies with LOFAR

#### Tracing Large Scale Structure with galaxy surveys



Credit: ESO/M. Kornmesser



catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

#### Tracing Large Scale Structure with galaxy surveys



#### Large **spectroscopic surveys** provide an **excellent opportunity** to trace the **large-scale structure** of the Universe

See e.g. 2dFGS (Colless+ 2001), SDSS (York+ 2000), GAMA (Driver+ 2011)

Using accurate redshifts allows **precise observation** of the **location** of galaxies and to **observe clusters, filaments and voids** 

One way to quantify the large-scale structure is by tracing **how clustered** galaxies appear in the survey at **different scales** compared to if there was no large-scale structure

This can be quantified by the **two-point correlation function** See e.g. Hauser & Peebles 1980, Peebles+ 1980, Davis & Peebles 1983

#### Tracing Large Scale Structure with galaxy surveys





LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024



LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024



LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

- Galaxies form in dark matter haloes and are "biased" tracers of the underlying matter (Kaiser+ 1984)
- Can quantify galaxy bias by looking at two-point correlation function of galaxies and compare to that for the underlying matter

Galaxy bias, 
$$b_g = \frac{\delta_g}{\delta_M} =$$
  
Ratio of mean mass density of galaxies c.f. underlying dark matter field

catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

$$\sqrt{\frac{\zeta g}{\zeta_M}}$$

Relates to spatial clustering of galaxies

[ξ\_



LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

But radio continuum surveys can't provide redshifts, so we typically rely on photometric redshifts from multiwavelength catalogues and measure the angular clustering

### Angular Clustering



catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

## Angular Clustering

#### To calculate this we use:

$$\omega(\theta) = \frac{DD(\theta) + RR(\theta) - 2DR(\theta)}{RR(\theta)}$$

Landy & Szalay 1993

Normalised pairs of galaxies in the data (randoms or data-to-randoms) in an angular separation

To link angular clustering to galaxy bias we need to know redshift distribution and can use this to de-project the clustering.



## Angular Clustering

#### To calculate this we use:

$$\omega(\theta) = \frac{DD(\theta) + RR(\theta) - 2DR(\theta)}{RR(\theta)}$$

Landy & Szalay 1993

Normalised pairs of galaxies in the data (randoms or data-to-randoms) in an angular separation

To link angular clustering to galaxy bias we need to know redshift distribution and can use this to de-project the clustering.

Creating accurate random catalogues are crucial to this work!





catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024



catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

#### LOFAR Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS) Wide Area



#### Systematics to Account For

Take **simulated** catalogues of radio sources and account for:

#### Smearing



Use comparisons with **FIRST** (Helfand+ 2015) to account for **smearing** in the sources as a function of **elevation** 

### Systematics to Account For

Take **simulated** catalogues of radio sources and account for:



Use comparisons with **FIRST** (Helfand+ 2015) to account for **smearing** in the sources as a function of **elevation** 

Use **simulations** from **Shimwell+ 2022** to investigate:

- Incompleteness (as a function of SNR)

- Differences between simulated and measured flux densities

Hale+ 2024 LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

## Generation of Randoms

1) Simulated radio source

- Integrated and peak **flux density** from Wilman+ 2008
- Assign random position in the DR2 area
- Obtain **rms** from **map**



## Generation of Randoms

#### 1) Simulated radio source

- Integrated and peak flux density from Wilman+ 2008
- Assign random position in the DR2 area
- Obtain **rms** from **map**



#### 2) Apply systematics

- Use smearing vs elevation to determine the peak flux density of the source
- Use this to obtain a SNR of the source
- Measure the **completeness** and sample to determine if the source is **detected**
- For detected sources determine the **measured** integrated and peak **flux densities**

## Generation of Randoms

#### 1) Simulated radio source

- Integrated and peak flux density from Wilman+ 2008
- Assign random position in the DR2 area
- Obtain **rms** from **map**



#### 2) Apply systematics

- Use smearing vs elevation to determine the peak flux density of the source
- Use this to obtain a SNR of the source
- Measure the **completeness** and sample to determine if the source is **detected**
- For detected sources determine the **measured** integrated and peak **flux densities**

#### 3) Apply additional cuts

 Apply additional SNR and flux density cuts on the measured properties and the data

### Simulated Random Catalogues



Dotted lines = Random sources uniformly distributed (i.e. not accounting for systematics)

Solid lines = Random sources accounting for systematics

Hale+ 2024 LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

#### Flux Density Scale



## Cosmology Studies with LoTSS-DR2





Hale+ 2024 LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024



 $\geq 1.5$  mJy,  $\geq 7.5\sigma$ 

At the smallest angular scales there is an uptick in the angular two-point correlation function

This likely has a significant contribution from multi-component radio sources.

The value-added catalogue (Hardcastle+ 2023, i.e. with cross-matched multicomponent sources) is for >8 mJy sources.

Therefore, cannot fit below ~0.03 degree.

Hale+ 2024 LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024



+ Use Redshift Distributions to model the clustering and infer galaxy bias using PyCCL (Chisari+ 2019)

 $\geq 1.5 \text{ mJy}, \geq 7.5\sigma$ 

Hale+ 2024 LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

#### Redshift Distribution of Sources



catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

### Redshift Distribution of Sources

Deep Fields data have **Z\_Best** values in the catalogues but can have **wide ranging p(z)** distribution.

Therefore, we create **resampled full p(z)** by random sampling from the p(z) of the sources in the three fields which are above the flux limit being considered.

We **repeat** this numerous times and use the spread in the p(z) to give **uncertainties** to the p(z).







Hale+ 2024 LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk



Work in progress

See Morteza's poster in

the coffee/lunch breaks!

#### One-point statistics (Pashapour-Ahmadabadi et al. in prep)

Use the counts of radio sources in cells to investigate the distribution of sources and see it is not well modelled by a Poisson process alone.

Can use counts in cell to estimate the angular clustering, assuming a power law

*S* > 2 *mJy*, *mask d*, *N* = 827 362  $10^{0}$ 0.14--0.91LoTSS-DR2 -0.91 -0.91Randoms - 3.66 0.12- $10^{-1}$ Poisson - 3.66 - 3.66° compound Poisson S > 2 mJy, 7.5 SNR 0.10 \_\_\_ 10<sup>-2</sup> ٰ (6) ع negative binomial - 80.0 Д -0.80 10-3 -0.85 0.06--0.90 -0.95 0.04--1.00 $10^{-4}$ 0.02 -1.1 -2.65 -2.55 -2.50 -2.60 log<sub>10</sub>A  $10^{-5}$ 0.00  $10^{-1}$ 100  $10^{-2}$  $10^{1}$  $10^{2}$ 10 30 40 20  $\vartheta[deg]$ Source per cell

catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

Work in progress

### Radio Dipole (Böhme+ in prep)



#### Cross-Correlation with CMB (Nakoneczny+ 2024)

- Combine LoTSS-DR2 with CMB lensing maps from Planck (Planck Collaboration+ 2020) to measure power spectrum
- Use this to place constraints on **bias evolution models** by using cross correlations helping to avoid any potential remaining systematics in the radio data.
- By fixing certain parameters, was also able to place constraints on  $\sigma_8$



## Cross-correlating with eBOSS (Zheng+ in prep)



(Represents how the BAO wiggles in the power spectrum shift with scale)

Cross-correlate **luminous red galaxies** from **eBOSS** (Ross+ 2020) with LoTSS-DR2 in different eBOSS redshift bins

Use this to put constraint on the **angular BAO parameter** ass **bias evolution** 

Using cross-correlations helps **reduce systematics** in both fields and improve constraints on cosmological parameters

Jinglan presented this earlier in the week!

#### Flux Dependent Clustering (Bhardwaj+ in press)



Investigate the flux dependent clustering of LoTSS DR1 using the cosmological analysis of Siewert+ 2020.

Using the method to obtain redshift distributions from resampling the p(z) this can see how spatial clustering models varies with flux density





## Limitations in bias constraints from LoTSS-DR2

## Limitations in bias constraints from LoTSS-DR2

The study into the angular clustering relies on a number of assumptions:

1) The bias evolves using one of the two models considered

2) The SFGs and AGN have similar bias evolution over time

### Limitations in bias constraints from LoTSS-DR2

The study into the angular clustering relies on a number of assumptions:

1) The bias evolves using one of the two models considered

2) The SFGs and AGN have similar bias evolution over time

AGN vs SFG



Hale+ 2018

## LOFAR Deep Fields

- Deep (~20-30 uJy/beam) observations over 3 deep fields: ELAIS-N1, Lockman Hole, Boötes (Sabater+ 2021, Tasse+ 2021)
- Source association presented in Kondapally+ 2021
- Redshifts/Stellar masses in Duncan+ 2021
- Source Classifications in Best+ 2023



### LOFAR Deep Fields

- Deep (~20-30 uJy/beam) observations over 3 deep fields: ELAIS-N1, Lockman Hole, Boötes (Sabater+ 2021, Tasse+ 2021)
- Source association presented in Kondapally+ 2021
- Redshifts/Stellar masses in Duncan+ 2021
- Source Classifications in Best+ 2023

No small-scale clustering from multi-component sources, only 1-halo

Split source populations and investigate bias evolution



**SFG Luminosity Function**  $0.1 < z \leq 0.4$ -- 1.6 < z  $\leq$  2.0  $0.4 < z \leq 0.6$  $--- 2.0 < z \leq 2.5$ 0.6 < z < 0.8--- 2.5 < z < 3.3 $0.8 < z \leq 1.0$ --- 3.3 < z < 4.6 --- 4.6 < z  $\leq$  5.7  $1.0 < z \leq 1.3$ - 1.3 <  $z \leq 1.6$  $\log_{10}(\phi/\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3} \log_{10} L^{-1})$ -5242226 $\log(L_{150\mathrm{MHz}}/\mathrm{W~Hz}^{-1})$ 

SFG Luminosity Function parameter evolution



 Use modelling of LOFAR luminosity function (LF; Kondapally+ 2022, Cochrane+ 2023) parameters to construct LFs in small z bins (dz = 0.025)

Figures from Cochrane+ 2023

**SFG Luminosity Function** -- 1.6 < z  $\leq$  2.0  $0.1 < z \leq 0.4$  $0.4 < z \leq 0.6$  $--- 2.0 < z \leq 2.5$ 0.6 < z < 0.8--- 2.5 < z < 3.3--- 3.3 < z < 4.6 0.8 < z < 1.0--- 4.6 < z < 5.7 1.0 < z < 1.3- 1.3 <  $z \leq 1.6$  $\log_{10}(\phi/\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3} \log_{10} L^{-1})$ -5222426 $\log(L_{150\mathrm{MHz}}/\mathrm{W~Hz}^{-1})$ 

SFG Luminosity Function parameter evolution



- Use modelling of LOFAR luminosity function (LF; Kondapally+ 2022, Cochrane+ 2023) parameters to construct LFs in small z bins (dz = 0.025)
- Down sample the LF from the input random catalogue so they agree with the model LF

Figures from Cochrane+ 2023

SFG Luminosity Function -- 1.6 < z  $\leq$  2.0  $0.1 < z \leq 0.4$  $--- 2.0 < z \leq 2.5$ 0.4 < z < 0.60.6 < z < 0.8--- 2.5 < z < 3.3--- 3.3 < z < 4.6 0.8 < z < 1.01.0 < z < 1.3--- 4.6 < z < 5.7  $1.3 < z \leq 1.6$  $\log_{10}(\phi/\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3} \log_{10} L^{-1})$ -5222426 $\log(L_{150MHz}/W Hz^{-1})$ 

Figures from Cochrane+ 2023

SFG Luminosity Function parameter evolution



- Use modelling of LOFAR luminosity function (LF; Kondapally+ 2022, Cochrane+ 2023) parameters to construct LFs in small z bins (dz = 0.025)
- Down sample the LF from the input random catalogue so they agree with the model LF
- Combine the z bins together to get randoms over the full z range (dz = 0.2-0.5 depending on source type)

SFG Luminosity Function  $0.1 < z \leq 0.4$ -- 1.6 < z < 2.0 0.4 < z < 0.6--- 2.0 < z < 2.5--- 2.5 < z < 3.3< z < 0.8-- 3.3 < z < 4.6 0.8 < z < 1.01.0 < z < 1.3--- 4.6 < z < 5.7  $1.3 < z \leq 1.6$  $\log_{10}(\phi/\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3} \log_{10} L^{-1})$ -5222426 $\log(L_{150\mathrm{MHz}}/\mathrm{W~Hz}^{-1})$ 

Figures from Cochrane+ 2023

SFG Luminosity Function parameter evolution



- Use modelling of LOFAR luminosity function (LF; Kondapally+ 2022, Cochrane+ 2023) parameters to construct LFs in small z bins (dz = 0.025)
- Down sample the LF from the input random catalogue so they agree with the model LF
- Combine the z bins together to get randoms over the full z range (dz = 0.2-0.5 depending on source type)
- Gives **input source distribution** with the **LF**, **n**(**z**) and **dN/dS** which matches the intrinsic distribution for the given source type in the z bin

Hale+ in prep

#### Results



Hale+ in prep

#### Results



**Good agreement** between **Hale+ 2018** SFGs and **this work** and Hale+ 2018 LERGs and the QLERGs (which are more similar based on selection function used in Smolčic+ 2017)

Hale+ in prep

#### Results



**Good agreement** between **Hale+ 2018** SFGs and **this work** and Hale+ 2018 LERGs and the QLERGs (which are more similar based on selection function used in Smolčic+ 2017)

### SFG bias evolution is **steeper** than the **evolving model** assumed for **LoTSS DR2** – but good approximation for LERGs

## Future of Cosmological Studies with LOFAR

### Future with LOFAR

#### LOFAR High Resolution



#### Future with LOFAR

#### LOFAR High Resolution



#### LOFAR Follow up Redshift Survey: WEAVE-LOFAR



catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

#### Future with LOFAR WEAVE 1000.0 dN/dz per square degree 100.0 **LOFAR High Resolution** 10.0 40<sup>s</sup> 13<sup>h</sup>40<sup>m</sup>38<sup>s</sup> 44<sup>s</sup> 42<sup>s</sup> 46<sup>s</sup> 20' 10 arcsec 55°05'40" 1.0 15" RQ-AGN HEGs 20' 0.1 10" 0.1 1.0 Oxford/STF Snac z Gavin D 00" 05" **Combining LOFAR 2.0 with other surveys** 55°05'00" 04'40" pm\_ 10.0000 Published surveys GLEA depth / mJy • Ongoing surveys 1 arcsec 10 arcsed WENS 55°05'40' 1.0000 12" 0.1000 rms 20 09" LoTSS-Wide DR2 **1.4GHz** LoTSS-0.0100 MIGHTEE-DR1 00" LA-COSMOS 3GHz GOODS. Effective 06" 0.0010 VLA-SWIRE LUDO 04'40' 0.0001 6.0"x6.0" 55°05'03" 0.3"x0.2" 0.01 1.00 100.00 10000.00 Survey area / deg<sup>2</sup> 13h40m42s Morabito+ 2022

LUDO proposal, Best, Morabito et al.

#### LOFAR Follow up Redshift Survey: WEAVE-LOFAR

ESA/Euclid/Euclid Consortium/NASA, image processing by J.-C. Cuillandre (CEA Paris-Saclay), G. Anselmi

 $S_{150 \text{ MHz}} > 100 \mu Jy$ 

Smith+ 2016

catherine.hale@physics.ox.ac.uk

LOFAR Family Meeting, Leiden 2024

## Summary

- Radio Surveys with LOFAR are great for **cosmology** studies:
  - Combination of large area observations + deep fields with a wealth of ancillary data
- Systematics are key to understand to accurately trace the large-scale structure:
  - We use a combination of simulations and account for **systematic effects** e.g. incompleteness, smearing, measurement errors
- Numerous studies of the evolving bias in the LoTSS DR2 wide area survey from the auto-correlation (Hale+ 2024) and cross-correlations (Nakoneczny + 2024, Zheng+ in prep) with other data to improve such measurements.
- The **deep fields** allow us to more accurately trace the **bias evolution** for different **populations (AGN vs SFGs)**.
- Future is exciting:
  - Spectroscopic surveys (i.e. WEAVE-LOFAR, Smith+ 2016) will allow us to directly measure the spatial correlation function, not use projected angular clustering
  - High resolution and LOFAR 2.0 will improve 1-halo studies and cross-correlating with optical/IR data